UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Armando Reyes-Islas	Case Num	ber: _	11-6339M		
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. esented by counsel. I conclude by a prant pending trial in this case.					
		FINDINGS OF FACT	•			
• •	ponderance of the evidence that:					
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.					
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.					
	The defendant has no significant	nt has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crimina	I history.				
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	ico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	plicant but has no substa	antial	ties in Arizona or in	n the United States and has	
	There is a record of the defendant	t using numerous aliases.	•			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of	у	ears imprisonment.		
at the time of	Court incorporates by reference the m the hearing in this matter, except as	noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LA		ervices Agency whic	h were reviewed by the Court	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the de No condition or combination of co	nditions will reasonably a	ETEN	ΓΙΟΝ	·	
a corrections to appeal. The confideration of the United States	lefendant is committed to the custody facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the pure APPE APPE	able, from persons awaitin able opportunity for private r the Government, the pe	g or se consi rson in conn	erving sentences or l ultation with defense n charge of the corre nection with a court	being held in custody pending e counsel. On order of a coun ections facility shall deliver the	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of of the motion for review/reconsidera	this detention order be file	ed with	n the District Court, i	t is counsel's responsibility to hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas ciently in advance of the hearing be e potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be of fore the District Court to	consid allow	ered, it is counsel's Pretrial Services an	responsibility to notify Pretria opportunity to interview and	
DAT	ED this 13 th day of July, 201	1.				
		- Jan				
		70:70°				
	Un	David K. Duncan ited States Magistrate	Judge	e		